Magneto-electro-mechanical size-dependent vibration analysis of three-layered nanobeam with initial curvature considering thickness stretching
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Abstract
Thickness stretching effect based on shear and normal deformation theory is used in this paper for magneto-electro-elastic vibration analysis of a three-layered curved nanobeam including a nano core and two piezo-magnetic layers. Size-dependency is included in derivation of governing equations of motion based Eringen’s nonlocal elasticity theory. The initial curvature is accounted in calculation of external works due to pre-mechanical, electrical and magnetic loads. The analytical method is presented to study the effect of significant parameters on the vibration characteristics. The numerical results are presented in terms of initial electro-magneto-mechanical loads, size-dependency parameter, opening angle, two parameters of Pasternak’s foundation and core thickness to face-sheet thickness ratio.
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INTRODUCTION
Shear deformation theories have been developed to model kinematic relations in various structures such as beams, plates and shells. Most of these theories assumed that transverse deflection across the thickness direction is constant and do not vary with change of thickness coordinate. These limitation leads to inaccurate results for thick walled shells, plates and beams. Shear and normal deformation theory has been proposed to calculate thickness stretching for more accurate calculation of deformations and stresses across the thickness direction. This theory considers thickness stretching through employing a function for transverse function in terms of thickness coordinate. In this paper, magneto-electro-elastic vibration analysis of a three-layered curved nano beam is presented based on nonlocal elasticity theory and shear and normal deformation theory. One can conclude that combination of this topic with some non-classical theories such nonlocal elasticity theory leads to significant issue in size-dependent analysis of structures. Literature review is presented here based on published works on the shear and normal deformation theory, nonlocal elasticity theory and curvilinear coordinate system.

Zenkour [1] presented an analytical work on the bending analysis of cross-ply laminated and sandwich beams based on higher-order shear deformation theory accounting shear and normal deformations. The numerical results were presented for simply-supported beam in terms of important parameters of the problem. Arefi and Zenkour [2] used a simplified shear and normal deformations nonlocal theory for bending analysis of functionally graded piezomagnetic sandwich nanobeams in magneto-thermo-electric environment. The influence of magneto-electro-thermal loads was studied on the bending results of nanobeam. Shi [3] analyzed bending behaviors of a piezoelectric and functionally graded curved actuator based on theory of piezo-elasticity subjected to an external voltage. The influence of power index of functionally
graded material was investigated on the results and the obtained results were approved by comparison with finite element approach. Koutsawa and Daya [4] presented static and free vibration analyses of laminated glass beam rest on viscoelastic foundation based on finite element method. Qian et al. [5] presented static, free and forced vibration analysis of thick rectangular functionally graded elastic plate based on higher-order shear and normal deformation plate theory. The problem was solved using a meshless local Petrov–Galerkin method. Bending analysis of a functionally graded piezoelectric curved beam subjected to external electric potential was studied by Shi and Zhang [6]. Theory of piezo-elasticity was employed for derivation of the governing equations of the model and the bending results were derived using Taylor series expansion method. Belabed et al. [7] employed a simple higher-order shear and normal deformation theory for dynamic analysis of functionally graded plates. Based on this theory, the transverse displacement is divided to three parts including bending, shear and thickness stretching parts. A hyperbolic variation was assumed for thickness stretching function to overcome limitation of other theories and satisfies free stress boundary conditions on top and bottom of plate. The numerical results were compared with those results using three dimensional and quasi three dimensional solutions. Zhou et al. [8] studied the transient analysis of a curved piezoelectric beam with variable curvature as piezoelectric vibration energy harvester. Bousahla et al. [9] studied the influence of stretching effect on the static analysis of functionally graded composite plates based on a trigonometric higher-order shear and normal deformation theory. The concept of neutral surface was included in the derivation procedure. They concluded that employing the concept of neutral surface effect on the formulation procedure eliminates stretching–bending coupling effect and reduces the governing equations to the simple form of those derived for isotropic materials. Hajianmaleki et al. [10] presented a complete review on the vibration analysis of straight and curved laminated composite beams based on various analytical and numerical methods such as shear deformation theory and finite element method, respectively. Rahimi et al. [11] studied electro-elastic analysis of functionally graded piezoelectric material cylindrical shell. The effect of electric potential was studied on the bending results.

Arslan and Usta [12] employed theory of elasticity for electro-mechanical analysis of a curved bar. The results of problem were verified using comparison with previous works including an actuator under an initial electric potential. The influence of the applied couple has been studied on the electro-mechanical results such as displacement and electric potential distribution. Arefi [13] studied elastic solution of a curved beam made of functionally graded materials with various cross sections such as circular, rectangular and triangular. The influence of some important parameters such as non-homogeneous index and various cross sections was investigated on the stress distribution of curved beam. Houari et al. [14] studied thermo-elastic bending analysis of functionally graded sandwich plate based on a higher-order shear and normal deformation theory by dividing the total transverse displacement into bending, shear and thickness stretching parts. Sinusoidal variation of displacement across the thickness direction was assumed to account thickness stretching and also satisfies free-shear stress boundary conditions on the top and bottom of plate. The influence of significant parameters such as thickness stretching, shear deformation, thermal load, plate aspect ratio, side-to-thickness ratio, and volume fraction distribution on plate bending characteristics, were studied in detail. The influence of applied electric and magnetic potentials on the sandwich rod, beam and plates was studied in various works [15-19]. Bourada et al. [20] used a refined trigonometric higher-order shear and normal deformation beam theory to account thickness stretching effect. In addition, Timoshenko beam theory and the concept of neutral surface effect were accounted to derive governing equations of motion. Natarajan et al. [21] studied size dependent free vibration analysis of functionally graded nanoplates based on isogeometric finite element method and Eringen’s differential form of nonlocal elasticity theory. The effective material properties were calculated based on Mori–Tanaka homogenization scheme. Bennai et al. [22] studied free vibration and buckling analysis of functionally graded sandwich beams based on refined hyperbolic shear and normal deformation beam theory. Gradation of material properties were accounted for all material properties along the thickness direction. The influence of varying gradients, thickness stretching, boundary conditions, and thickness
to length ratios was studied on the bending, free vibration and buckling of functionally graded sandwich beams.

Some important studies on piezo-magnetic analysis of structures can be observed in references [23-24]. Ebrahim and Barati [24] employed nonlocal elasticity to study the buckling behavior of curved magneto-electro-elastic FG nanobeams based on principle of virtual work and Euler-Bernoulli beam theory. The gradation of material properties was considered based on power-law function along the thickness direction. One can conclude that although references [21, 24] mentioned buckling analysis of curved beam, however the influence of electro-magnetic loads of curved nano beam on the bending behaviors of structure has not been performed by the same and other researchers. Nonlinear vibration analysis of functionally graded porous micro/nano-plates reinforced with graphene nanoplatelets was studied by Sahmani et al. [25] based on nonlocal strain gradient theory. The von-Karman nonlinear strains were included in kinematic relations. Larbi et al. [26] studied bending and free vibration analysis of functionally graded beams based on shear and normal deformation beam theory and physical neutral surface effect. A hyperbolic function was used for distribution of shear stress across thickness direction. Yu et al. [27] presented elastic analysis of initially curved and twisted anisotropic beams based on three-dimensional elasticity theory and Timoshenko theory. Nonlocal elasticity was used for buckling and free vibration analysis of nanosheets [28], single walled carbon nanotubes [29] and non-uniform nanobeam [30]. Ghasemi et al. [31-32] presented some computational design methodology for topology optimization of multi-material-based flexoelectric composites and a method for topology optimization of multi-
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

Shear and normal deformation theory is used to accounts thickness stretching for magneto-electro-elastic formulation of a three-layered curved nanobeam (Fig. 1) subjected to magneto-electro-mechanical loads. Based on this theory, we will have displacement field as follows:

\[ u_r = u(\theta) - \frac{x}{r} \frac{d w_0}{d \theta} - \frac{1}{r} \Psi_1(z) \frac{dw_1}{d \theta} \]
\[ u_\theta = w_1(\theta) + w_2(z) \theta(\theta) \]

where \( u(\theta) \) is displacement of the middle-surface along transverse direction; \( w_1 \) and \( w_2 \) are the bending and shear components of the radial displacement \( u_r \) and \( \theta \) is a function that accounts thickness stretching as function of \( \theta \). In addition, \( r \) is local radius and \( z \) is measures from middle surface in which the relation between them is expressed as: \( r=r+Rz \) (Fig. 1). The above displacement field shows that the term \( \Psi_2(z) \theta(\theta) \) is applied to account thickness stretching in displacement field. The shape functions associated with refined shear and normal deformation curved beam theory are presented as [1, 2]:

\[ \Psi_1(z) = z - \frac{h}{2} \sin \left( \frac{\pi z}{h} \right) \]
\[ \Psi_2(z) = \cos \left( \frac{\pi z}{h} \right) \]

The normal and shear strain components are expressed as:

\[ \varepsilon_r = \frac{w_0}{r} + \frac{w_1(z)}{r} + \frac{\Psi_1(z)}{r} \theta + \frac{1}{r} \frac{d w_2(z)}{d \theta} - \frac{x}{r^2} \frac{d^2 w_0}{d \theta^2} - \frac{1}{r} \frac{d \Psi_1(z)}{d \theta} \frac{d^2 w_0}{d \theta^2} \]
\[ \gamma_{r \theta} = -\frac{1}{r} \left( \frac{1}{r} \frac{d w_2(z)}{d \theta} + \frac{2}{r} \frac{d w_1(z)}{d \theta} \right) + \frac{2}{r} \frac{d \Psi_1(z)}{d \theta} \frac{d w_1(z)}{d \theta} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{d \Psi_2(z)}{d \theta} \frac{d w_2(z)}{d \theta} \]
The strain components are considered for both core and piezomagnetic sections. It is assumed that piezomagnetic layers are completely attached to core with no discontinuity. Based on this assumption, the displacement filed is assumed continuous between core and piezomagnetic layers. In addition, it is assumed that piezomagnetic layers are subjected to initial electric and magnetic potentials along the thickness direction.

The constitutive relations for isotropic core are defined as [15]:

\[
\begin{align*}
(1 - \xi^2 \psi^2) \sigma_{\theta\theta}^c &= C_{\theta\theta\theta\theta}^c \varepsilon_{\theta\theta}, \\
(1 - \xi^2 \psi^2) \tau_{\theta\theta}^c &= C_{\theta\theta\theta\theta}^c \gamma_{\theta\theta},
\end{align*}
\]

in which \( C_{ijkl}^c \) are stiffness coefficients of elastic core, \( \nabla^2 \) is Laplace operator in polar coordinate system and \( \xi = e_0 a \) is nonlocal parameter. Furthermore, the constitutive relations for piezomagnetic layers are defined as [6, 15, 19]:

\[
\begin{align*}
(1 - \xi^2 \psi^2) \sigma_{\theta\theta}^p &= C_{\theta\theta\theta\theta}^p \varepsilon_{\theta\theta} - \varepsilon_{\theta\theta}^p E_r - q_{\theta\theta}^p H_r, \\
(1 - \xi^2 \psi^2) \tau_{\theta\theta}^p &= C_{\theta\theta\theta\theta}^p \gamma_{\theta\theta} - \varepsilon_{\theta\theta}^p E_r - q_{\theta\theta}^p H_r,
\end{align*}
\]

in which \( C_{ijkl}^p \) are stiffness coefficients of piezoelectric layers, \( e_{ijkl}^p \) are the piezoelectric coefficients and \( q_{ijkl}^p \) are piezomagnetic coefficients. \( E_r \) and \( H_r \) are the components of electric and magnetic fields, respectively that are defined as [15-19]:

\[
\begin{align*}
E_r &= -\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \theta}, & E_\theta &= -\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r}, \\
H_r &= -\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \theta}, & H_\theta &= -\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r}.
\end{align*}
\]

The electric and magnetic potentials are assumed as [15-19]:

\[
\begin{align*}
\psi(r, \theta) &= -\psi(\theta) \cos \left( \frac{\pi}{h_p} \rho \right) + 2 \psi_0 \frac{\rho}{h_p}, \\
\phi(r, \theta) &= -\phi(\theta) \cos \left( \frac{\pi}{h_p} \rho \right) + 2 \phi_0 \frac{\rho}{h_p}.
\end{align*}
\]

In which \( \psi, \phi \) are applied electric and magnetic potentials, \( \rho = \zeta \frac{h_0}{h_p} + \frac{h_0}{2} \) for top and bottom piezo-magnetic face-sheets. Substitution of electric and magnetic potentials from Eq. (7) into Eq. (6) gives electric and magnetic fields as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
E_r &= -\frac{\pi}{h_p} \psi \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{h_p} \rho \right) - \frac{2 \psi_0}{h_p}, & E_\theta &= \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \theta} \cos \left( \frac{\pi}{h_p} \rho \right), \\
H_r &= -\frac{\pi}{h_p} \phi \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{h_p} \rho \right) - \frac{2 \phi_0}{h_p}, & H_\theta &= \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \theta} \cos \left( \frac{\pi}{h_p} \rho \right).
\end{align*}
\]

The electric displacement and magnetic induction along the radial and circumferential directions are derived as [15-19]:

\[
\begin{align*}
(1 - \xi^2 \psi^2) D_r^p &= e_{\theta\theta0}^p \varepsilon_{\theta\theta} + e_{\theta\theta}^p E_r + m_{\theta\theta}^p H_r, \\
(1 - \xi^2 \psi^2) D_\theta^p &= e_{\theta\theta0}^p \gamma_{\theta\theta} + e_{\theta\theta}^p E_\theta + m_{\theta\theta}^p H_\theta, \\
(1 - \xi^2 \psi^2) B_r^p &= q_{\theta\theta0}^p \varepsilon_{\theta\theta} + m_{\theta\theta}^p E_r + \mu_{\theta\theta}^p H_r, \\
(1 - \xi^2 \psi^2) B_\theta^p &= q_{\theta\theta0}^p \gamma_{\theta\theta} + m_{\theta\theta}^p E_\theta + \mu_{\theta\theta}^p H_\theta,
\end{align*}
\]

in which \( m_i \) and \( \mu_i \) are dielectric and electromagnetic coefficients. Substitution of strain, electric and magnetic fields into constitutive relations leads to following relations for core and piezomagnetic layers as:

\[
\begin{align*}
\Psi_0 &= \psi(\theta) \cos \left( \frac{\pi}{h_p} \rho \right) + \psi_0, \\
\Phi_0 &= \phi(\theta) \cos \left( \frac{\pi}{h_p} \rho \right) + \phi_0.
\end{align*}
\]
Core:

\[(1 - \xi^2 \psi^2) \sigma_{\theta \theta} = C_{\theta \theta \theta \theta} \phi \left[ \frac{w_0}{r} + \frac{w_s}{r} + \frac{\psi_2(z)}{r} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 w_0}{\partial \theta^2} - \frac{z}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 w_0}{\partial \theta^2} - \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 w_s}{\partial \theta^2} - \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\psi_1(z)}{r} \frac{\partial^2 w_s}{\partial \theta^2} \right].\]

\[(1 - \xi^2 \psi^2) t_{r \theta} = C_{r \theta \theta \theta \theta} \phi \left[ -\frac{1}{r} u + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial w_0}{\partial \theta} + \frac{2}{r} \frac{\partial w_s}{\partial \theta} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial w_0}{\partial \theta} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial w_s}{\partial \theta} + 2 \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\psi_1(z)}{r} \frac{\partial w_s}{\partial \theta} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\psi_2(z) \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \theta}}{\partial \theta} + e_p \phi \psi \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{n_p} \rho \right) + 2 \frac{w_0}{n_p} \right].\]

Piezomagnetic layers:

\[(1 - \xi^2 \psi^2) \sigma_{\theta \theta} = C_{\theta \theta \theta \theta} \phi \left[ \frac{w_0}{r} + \frac{w_s}{r} + \frac{\psi_2(z)}{r} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 w_0}{\partial \theta^2} - \frac{z}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 w_0}{\partial \theta^2} - \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 w_s}{\partial \theta^2} - \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\psi_1(z)}{r} \frac{\partial^2 w_s}{\partial \theta^2} + e_p \phi \psi \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{n_p} \rho \right) + 2 \frac{w_0}{n_p} \right].\]

\[(1 - \xi^2 \psi^2) t_{r \theta} = C_{r \theta \theta \theta \theta} \phi \left[ -\frac{1}{r} u + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial w_0}{\partial \theta} + \frac{2}{r} \frac{\partial w_s}{\partial \theta} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial w_0}{\partial \theta} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial w_s}{\partial \theta} + 2 \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\psi_1(z)}{r} \frac{\partial w_s}{\partial \theta} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\psi_2(z) \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \theta}}{\partial \theta} + e_p \phi \psi \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{n_p} \rho \right) + 2 \frac{w_0}{n_p} \right].\]

The Hamilton's principle \( \delta (T + V - U) = 0 \) is employed to arrive the governing magneto-electro-elastic equations of motion. The variation of strain energy \( \delta U \) is defined as:

\[
\delta U = \int \int \left( \sigma_{\theta \theta} \delta \varepsilon_{\theta \theta} + \sigma_{r \theta} \delta \varepsilon_{r \theta} - D_r \delta E_r - D_\theta \delta E_\theta - B_r \delta H_r - B_\theta \delta H_\theta \right) dV.
\]

By substitution of volume element \( dV = brdrd\theta = b (r+\xi) \phi d\phi \theta \) and variation of strains, electric and magnetic fields into Eq. (9), we have:

\[
\delta U = \int \int \left[ \left( N_{\theta \theta} \delta w_0 + N_{\theta \theta} \delta w_s + P_{\theta \theta} \delta \theta + \sigma_{\theta \theta} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \phi} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \phi} - M_{\theta \theta} \frac{\partial^2 \delta w_s}{\partial \phi^2} - S_{\theta \theta} \frac{\partial^2 \delta w_s}{\partial \phi^2} \right) + \left( -N_{r \theta} \delta u + N_{r \theta} \frac{\partial \delta w_s}{\partial \phi} + 2M_{r \theta} \frac{\partial \delta w_s}{\partial \phi} + N_{r \theta} \frac{\partial \delta w_s}{\partial \phi} + P_{r \theta} \frac{\partial \delta w_s}{\partial \phi} + B_r \delta \psi - B_\theta \delta \phi - B_\phi \frac{\partial \delta \phi}{\partial \phi} \right) \right] d\phi \theta.
\]

in which the resultant components are defined as [15-19]:

\[
\{N_{ij}, S_{ij}, M_{ij}, P_{ij}\} = \int \frac{r}{2} \phi \left( \frac{\pi}{n_p} \rho \right) \{D_r, B_r\} d\phi \theta + \int \frac{r}{2} \phi \left( \frac{\pi}{n_p} \rho \right) \{D_\theta, B_\theta\} d\phi \theta.
\]

\[
\{D_r, B_r\} = \int \frac{r}{2} \phi \left( \frac{\pi}{n_p} \rho \right) \{D_r, B_r\} d\phi \theta + \int \frac{r}{2} \phi \left( \frac{\pi}{n_p} \rho \right) \{D_\theta, B_\theta\} d\phi \theta.
\]

\[
\{D_\theta, B_\theta\} = \int \frac{r}{2} \phi \left( \frac{\pi}{n_p} \rho \right) \{D_\theta, B_\theta\} d\phi \theta + \int \frac{r}{2} \phi \left( \frac{\pi}{n_p} \rho \right) \{D_r, B_r\} d\phi \theta.
\]
Based on the above relations, the resultant components are calculated as follows:

\[
(1 - \xi^2 \partial^2) N_0 = A_1 \left( w_b + w_c + \frac{\partial w_b}{\partial \theta} \right) + A_2 \partial \bar{\psi} - A_3 \frac{\partial^2 w_b}{\partial \theta^2} - A_4 \frac{\partial^2 w_c}{\partial \theta^2} + A_5 \partial \psi + N_0 \psi_0 + A_6 \phi + N_0 \phi, \tag{22}
\]

\[
(1 - \xi^2 \partial^2) N_\theta = A_2 (\xi + \frac{\partial w_b}{\partial \theta} + 2A_8 \frac{\partial w_b}{\partial \theta} + 2A_9 \frac{\partial w_c}{\partial \theta} + A_{10} \partial \psi_0 + A_{11} \frac{\partial \psi_0}{\partial \theta} + A_{12} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \theta}), \tag{23}
\]

\[
(1 - \xi^2 \partial^2) M_b = A_3 \left( w_b + w_c + \frac{\partial w_b}{\partial \theta} + A_{13} \partial \psi - A_{14} \frac{\partial^2 w_b}{\partial \theta^2} - A_{15} \frac{\partial^2 w_c}{\partial \theta^2} + A_{16} \partial \psi + M_0 \psi_0 + A_{17} \phi + M_0 \phi \right), \tag{24}
\]

\[
(1 - \xi^2 \partial^2) M_\theta = A_4 (\xi + \frac{\partial w_b}{\partial \theta} + 2A_8 \frac{\partial w_b}{\partial \theta} + 2A_9 \frac{\partial w_c}{\partial \theta} + A_{10} \partial \psi_0 + A_{11} \frac{\partial \psi_0}{\partial \theta} + A_{12} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \theta} - A_{21} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \theta} - A_{22} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \theta}), \tag{25}
\]

\[
(1 - \xi^2 \partial^2) S_{0\theta} = A_{23} \left( w_b + w_c + \frac{\partial w_b}{\partial \theta} + A_{24} \partial \psi - A_5 \frac{\partial^2 w_b}{\partial \theta^2} - A_{25} \frac{\partial^2 w_c}{\partial \theta^2} + A_{26} \partial \psi + S_0 \psi_0 + A_{27} \phi + S_0 \phi \right), \tag{26}
\]

\[
(1 - \xi^2 \partial^2) S_{\theta \theta} = A_{27} (\xi + \frac{\partial w_b}{\partial \theta} + 2A_8 \frac{\partial w_b}{\partial \theta} + 2A_9 \frac{\partial w_c}{\partial \theta} + A_{10} \partial \psi_0 + A_{11} \frac{\partial \psi_0}{\partial \theta} + A_{12} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \theta} - A_{30} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \theta} - A_{31} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \theta}), \tag{27}
\]

\[
(1 - \xi^2 \partial^2) P_\theta = A_2 (\xi + \frac{\partial w_b}{\partial \theta} + 2A_8 \frac{\partial w_b}{\partial \theta} + 2A_9 \frac{\partial w_c}{\partial \theta} + A_{10} \partial \psi_0 + A_{11} \frac{\partial \psi_0}{\partial \theta} + A_{12} \partial \phi + P_0 \phi), \tag{28}
\]

\[
(1 - \xi^2 \partial^2) D_0 = A_{14} \left( w_b + w_c + \frac{\partial w_b}{\partial \theta} + A_{15} \partial \psi - A_{16} \frac{\partial^2 w_b}{\partial \theta^2} - A_{17} \frac{\partial^2 w_c}{\partial \theta^2} + A_{18} \partial \psi - D_0 \psi_0 - A_{19} \phi - D_0 \phi \right), \tag{29}
\]

\[
(1 - \xi^2 \partial^2) D_{\theta} = A_{15} (\xi + \frac{\partial w_b}{\partial \theta} + 2A_8 \frac{\partial w_b}{\partial \theta} + 2A_9 \frac{\partial w_c}{\partial \theta} + A_{10} \partial \psi_0 + A_{11} \frac{\partial \psi_0}{\partial \theta} + A_{12} \partial \phi + D_0 \phi), \tag{30}
\]

\[
(1 - \xi^2 \partial^2) B_{0\theta} = A_{16} (w_b + w_c + \frac{\partial w_b}{\partial \theta} + A_{17} \partial \psi - A_{18} \frac{\partial^2 w_b}{\partial \theta^2} - A_{19} \frac{\partial^2 w_c}{\partial \theta^2} + A_{20} \partial \psi - B_{0} \psi_0 - A_{21} \phi + B_{0} \phi), \tag{31}
\]

\[
(1 - \xi^2 \partial^2) B_{\theta \theta} = A_{17} \left( -w_b + w_c + \frac{\partial w_b}{\partial \theta} + A_{18} \partial \psi - A_{19} \frac{\partial^2 w_b}{\partial \theta^2} - A_{20} \partial \psi + B_{0} \psi_0 + A_{21} \phi - B_{0} \phi \right), \tag{32}
\]

in which the integration constants \( A_\alpha \) are expressed in the Appendix. In addition, the variation of energy due to external works is given by

\[
\delta W_{\text{Ext}} = \frac{1}{2} \int \left( N_0 \psi_0 + N_\theta \psi_0 + N_M \right) \left( \frac{\partial u_\theta}{\partial \theta} \right)^2 \, d\theta. \tag{36}
\]

In which \( N_\alpha \) are mechanical, electrical and magnetic pre-loads. These pre-loads are defined as:

\[
\{ N_\alpha, N_M \} = \int \frac{h_0}{2} \left( \frac{\partial u_\theta}{\partial \theta} \right)^2 \, d\theta. \tag{37}
\]

The reaction of Pasternak’s foundation is given by

\[
\delta T = \int \left( u_\theta \delta u_\theta + \bar{u}_\theta \delta \bar{u}_\theta \right) \, d\theta \tag{38}
\]

By substitution of displacement field into Eq. (37) and integration by part and then definition of integration of constants, we will have variation of kinetic energy as follows:

\[
\delta T = \int \left( -B_1 \bar{u}_\theta + B_2 \frac{\partial u_\theta}{\partial \theta} - B_3 \frac{\partial \bar{u}_\theta}{\partial \theta} \right) \delta \bar{u}_\theta + \left( -B_4 \frac{\partial u_\theta}{\partial \theta} + B_5 \frac{\partial \bar{u}_\theta}{\partial \theta} \right) \delta u_\theta + \left( -B_7 \frac{\partial \bar{u}_\theta}{\partial \theta} + B_8 \frac{\partial \bar{u}_\theta}{\partial \theta} \right) \delta \bar{u}_\theta + \left( -B_9 \frac{\partial \bar{u}_\theta}{\partial \theta} + B_10 \frac{\partial \bar{u}_\theta}{\partial \theta} \right) \delta \bar{u}_\theta \, d\theta \tag{39}
\]
Substitution of variations of strain energy, kinetic energy and energy due to external works into Hamilton’s principle
\[\delta T - \delta U + \delta V \] yields:
\[\delta u: \quad -N_\theta - \frac{dN_{\theta \theta}}{d\theta} = -B_1 \ddot{u} + B_2 \frac{d\omega_0}{d\theta} - B_3 \frac{d\omega_1}{d\theta} \tag{40}\]
\[\delta w_\theta: \quad N_{\theta \theta} \frac{d^2 M_{\theta \theta}}{d\theta^2} - \frac{\delta N_{\theta \theta}}{\delta \theta} - 2N_\theta - N_E + N_M \frac{1}{(r + \frac{h_2}{2} + h_p)} \frac{d^2(w_0 + w_1 + w_2)}{d\theta^2} = K_1 u_r - K_2 \frac{1}{(r - \frac{h_2}{2} - h_p)} \frac{d^2 u_r}{d\theta^2} - \frac{q}{q} \tag{41}\]
\[\delta w_\phi: \quad N_{\theta \phi} \frac{d^2 M_{\theta \phi}}{d\theta^2} + B_1 \frac{d^2 u_\theta}{d\theta^2} + \frac{d^2 w_\phi}{d\theta^2} - B_1 \ddot{w}_\phi - B_7 \ddot{\theta}, \tag{42}\]
\[\delta w_r: \quad N_{\phi \phi} \frac{d^2 M_{\phi \phi}}{d\theta^2} - \frac{\delta N_{\phi \phi}}{\delta \theta} - 2N_\phi - N_E + N_M \frac{1}{(r + \frac{h_2}{2} + h_p)} \frac{d^2(w_0 + w_1 + w_2)}{d\theta^2} = K_1 u_r - K_2 \frac{1}{(r - \frac{h_2}{2} - h_p)} \frac{d^2 u_r}{d\theta^2} - \frac{q}{q} \tag{43}\]
\[\delta \phi: \quad -\ddot{\phi} + \frac{d\phi}{d\theta} = 0, \tag{44}\]
\[\delta \theta: \quad -\ddot{\theta} + \frac{d\theta}{d\theta} = 0. \tag{45}\]

Substitution of resultant components into governing equations leads to final equations as follows:
\[\delta u: \quad -A_1 \frac{d^2 u_0}{d\theta^2} + A_1 \frac{d^2 \omega_0}{d\theta^2} - (A_7 + 2A_9 + A_1) \frac{d^2 \omega_1}{d\theta^2} + A_4 \frac{d^2 \omega_0}{d\theta^2} - (A_7 + 2A_9 + A_1) \frac{d\omega_0}{d\theta} - (A_{10} + A_2) \frac{d\theta}{d\theta} + (A_{11} - A_2) \frac{d\phi}{d\theta} + (A_{12} - A_6) \frac{d\phi}{d\theta} = (1 - \xi^2 \partial^2) \left\{ -B_1 \ddot{u} + B_2 \frac{d\omega_0}{d\theta} - B_3 \frac{d\omega_1}{d\theta} \right\} \tag{46}\]
\[\delta w_\theta: \quad -A_3 \frac{d^2 w_0}{d\theta^2} + (A_1 + 2A_9 + A_3) \frac{d^2 \omega_0}{d\theta^2} + A_11 \frac{d^2 \omega_0}{d\theta^2} - \left\{ \frac{A_3 + A_1 + 2A_9 + 2A_9 + 4A_10 - (1 - \xi^2 \partial^2)K_1 \frac{1}{(r + \frac{h_2}{2} + h_p)} \frac{d^2 w_0}{d\theta^2} + (A_1 - (1 - \xi^2 \partial^2)K_1 \frac{1}{(r - \frac{h_2}{2} - h_p)} \frac{d^2 w_1}{d\theta^2} + (A_1 + 1 - \xi^2 \partial^2)K_1 \frac{1}{(r - \frac{h_2}{2} - h_p)} \frac{d^2 w_2}{d\theta^2} \right\} \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{d\theta^2} + \frac{d\phi}{d\theta} = (A_{11} + 2A_{12} + (1 - \xi^2 \partial^2)K_1 \frac{1}{(r + \frac{h_2}{2} + h_p)} \frac{d^2 \omega_0}{d\theta^2} + \left( A_2 - (1 - \xi^2 \partial^2)K_1 \frac{1}{(r - \frac{h_2}{2} - h_p)} \right) \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{d\theta^2} + \frac{d\phi}{d\theta} \tag{47}\]
\[\delta w_\phi: \quad -A_{23} \frac{d^2 w_0}{d\theta^2} + (2A_9 + A_3) \frac{d^2 \omega_0}{d\theta^2} + A_{12} \frac{d^2 \omega_1}{d\theta^2} - \left\{ A_{23} + A_7 + 2A_9 + 2A_9 + 4A_30 + A_3 - (1 - \xi^2 \partial^2)K_1 \frac{1}{(r + \frac{h_2}{2} + h_p)} \frac{d^2 w_0}{d\theta^2} + (A_7 - (1 - \xi^2 \partial^2)K_1 \frac{1}{(r - \frac{h_2}{2} - h_p)} \frac{d^2 w_1}{d\theta^2} + (A_1 - (1 - \xi^2 \partial^2)K_1 \frac{1}{(r - \frac{h_2}{2} - h_p)} \frac{d^2 w_2}{d\theta^2} + (A_0) \frac{d^2 \omega_0}{d\theta^2} + (A_0) \frac{d^2 \omega_1}{d\theta^2} - B_1 \ddot{w}_\phi - B_1 \ddot{w}_\phi - B_7 \ddot{\theta} \right\} \tag{48}\]
\[ \delta \theta : (A_2 + A_{10}) \frac{du}{dB} - (2A_{20} + A_{13} + A_{10} - (1 - \xi^2 \alpha^2)K_2) \frac{1}{R - \frac{h_2}{2} - h_p} \psi_2 \left( z = \frac{h_2}{2} - h_p \right) \frac{d^2w_2}{dz^2} + (A_2 \nonumber \]  
\[ - (1 - \xi^2 \alpha^2)K_1 \psi_2 \left( z = \frac{h_2}{2} - h_p \right) \right) w_0 - (A_{36} + A_{40} + 2A_{32} - (1 - \xi^2 \alpha^2)K_2) \frac{1}{R - \frac{h_2}{2} - h_p} \psi_2 \left( z = \frac{h_2}{2} - h_p \right) \frac{d^2w_2}{dz^2} + (A_2 
\[ - (1 - \xi^2 \alpha^2)K_1 \psi_2 \left( z = \frac{h_2}{2} - h_p \right) \right) w_0 - (A_{32} - (1 - \xi^2 \alpha^2)K_2) \frac{1}{R - \frac{h_2}{2} - h_p} \psi_2 \left( z = \frac{h_2}{2} - h_p \right) \frac{d^2w_2}{dz^2} + (A_2 
\[ + (A_{35} - (1 - \xi^2 \alpha^2)K_1 \psi_2 \left( z = \frac{h_2}{2} - h_p \right) \right) \right) \theta + A_{40} \frac{d^2 \psi}{dz^2} + A_3 \psi + A_4 \frac{d^2 \phi}{dz^2} + A_5 \phi = -(1 - \xi^2 \alpha^2)q \psi_2 \left( z = \frac{h_2}{2} - h_p \right) - (1 - \xi^2 \alpha^2)K \psi_2 \left( z = \frac{h_2}{2} - h_p \right) \frac{d^2 \theta}{dz^2} + (A_2 \nonumber \]

\[ \delta \phi : (A_{11} - A_3) \frac{du}{dB} + (A_{16} - 2A_{21} - A_{11}) \frac{d^2w_2}{dz^2} - A_9 \psi + (A_{27} - A_{11} - 2A_{33}) \frac{d^2w_2}{dz^2} - A_3 \psi - A_{40} \frac{d^2 \phi}{dz^2} - A_{33} \phi - A_{50} \frac{d^2 \phi}{dz^2} + A_{42} \psi - A_4 \frac{d^2 \phi}{dz^2} + A_{43} \phi = -D_1 \psi - D_2 \phi, \] 

\[ \delta \phi : (A_{12} - A_2) \frac{du}{dB} + (A_{17} - 2A_{22} - A_{12}) \frac{d^2w_2}{dz^2} - A_9 \psi + (A_{28} - A_{11} - 2A_{34}) \frac{d^2w_2}{dz^2} - A_3 \psi - A_{41} \frac{d^2 \phi}{dz^2} - A_{33} \phi - A_{46} \frac{d^2 \phi}{dz^2} + A_{43} \psi - A_4 \frac{d^2 \phi}{dz^2} + A_{44} \phi = -R_1 \psi - R_2 \phi. \]

\[ \text{RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS} \]

In this section, the solution procedure for free vibration analysis is developed. The proposed solutions for a simply-supported three-layered curved nanobeam are expressed as:

\[ U \cos(a \theta) \] 

\[ \{[W_2, W_3, \theta, \psi, \phi]\} = \sum_{m=1,3,5} e^{iat} \left\{ U \cos(a \theta) \right\} \{[W_2, W_3, V, \Psi, \Phi] \sin(a \theta)\} \] 

in which \( \alpha = m \pi R/L \). Substitution of proposed solution into governing equations of motion leads to below equation:

\[ [K][X] = [F] - \omega^2 [M][X], \] 

in which \( [X] = \{U_r, U_p, U, \Psi, \Phi\} \) is an unknown vector corresponding to five unknown functions. The symmetric elements of the matrix \([K],[M]\) are expressed as:

\[ K_{11} = A_1 a^2 + A_2 a^2 = A_1 a^2 - (A_1 + 2A_0 + A) a \] 

\[ K_{12} = -(A_{10} + A_2) a \] 

\[ K_{13} = (A_{11} - A_2) a \] 

\[ K_{14} = (A_{12} + A_3) a \] 

\[ M_{11} = -B_1 \left( 1 + \xi^2 a^2 \right) \] 

\[ M_{12} = B_2 a \left( 1 + \xi^2 a^2 \right) \] 

\[ M_{13} = -B_3 \left( 1 + \xi^2 a^2 \right) \] 

\[ K_{21} = A_1 a^2 - (A_1 + 2A_0 + A) a \] 

\[ K_{22} = +A_4 a^2 + (A_3 + A_0 + A_1 + 2A_2 + 2A_4 + 4A_{10} - (1 + \xi^2 a^2)K_2) \] 

\[ K_{23} = +A_5 a^2 + (A_3 + A_0 + A_1 + 2A_2 + 2A_4 + 2A_{10} - (1 + \xi^2 a^2)K_2) \] 

\[ K_{24} = (A_{11} + A_{12} + 2A_{30} - (1 + \xi^2 a^2)K_2) \] 

\[ K_{25} = -(A_{11} + 2A_{21} - A_{12}) a^2 + A_v K_{26} = -(A_{11} + 2A_{22} - A_{12}) a^2 + A_v \] 

\[ M_{21} = B_2 a \left( 1 + \xi^2 a^2 \right) \] 

\[ M_{22} = -B_3 \left( 1 + \xi^2 a^2 \right) \] 

\[ M_{23} = +B_3 a^2 \left( 1 + \xi^2 a^2 \right) \] 

\[ K_{31} = -(A_{12} a^2 - (2A_{29} + A_1) a) \] 

\[ K_{32} = +A_{25} a^2 + (A_{23} + A_1 + 2A_6 + 2A_{29} + 4A_{30} + A_3 - (1 + \xi^2 a^2)K_2) \] 

\[ K_{33} = +A_{26} a^2 + (A_3 + A_0 + A_1 + 2A_2 + A_v - (1 + \xi^2 a^2)K_2) \]
The numerical results indicate that with increase of initial electric potential $\Psi_0$, the natural frequencies of three-layered curved nanobeam are increased significantly. It is concluded that with increase of initial electric potential, the electrical pre-load of curved nanobeam is increased and then the natural frequencies are increased. Furthermore, this conclusion is in accordance with results of Reference [28, 29].

The influence of initial magnetic potential $\Phi_0$ on the natural frequencies of three-layered curved nanobeam is listed in Table 2. The numerical results show that with increase of initial magnetic potential, the natural frequencies are decreased significantly. One can conclude that the pre-load of curved nanobeam is decreased with increase of initial magnetic potential in accordance with results of Reference [28].

The influences of initial mechanical loads on the natural frequencies of three-layered curved nanobeam are presented in Table 3. One can conclude that increase of initial mechanical loads leads to decrease of stiffness and consequently decrease of natural frequencies.

In continuation, the influence of small scale parameter and two parameters of Pasternak’s foundation is studied on the natural frequencies of three-layered curved nanobeam. The influence of
M. Arefi

Nonlocal parameters $\xi$ are studied on the natural frequencies of three-layered curved nanobeam in Table 4. Table 4 lists variation 1st, 2nd and 3rd natural frequencies of three-layered curved nanobeam in terms of various nonlocal parameters. One can conclude that with increase of nonlocal parameter, the stiffness of nano materials is decreased and consequently the natural frequencies are decreased [2].

Table 1: Variation of 1st, 2nd and 3rd natural frequencies of three-layered curved nanobeam subjected to initial electric potential $\Psi_0$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\Psi_0$</th>
<th>$\omega_1$ (1st mode)</th>
<th>$\omega_2$ (2nd mode)</th>
<th>$\omega_3$ (3rd mode)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>675.59</td>
<td>1086.58</td>
<td>1411.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>678.72</td>
<td>1094.61</td>
<td>1424.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>681.84</td>
<td>1102.59</td>
<td>1437.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>684.95</td>
<td>1110.51</td>
<td>1449.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Variation of 1st, 2nd and 3rd natural frequencies of three-layered curved nanobeam subjected to initial electric potential $\Phi_0$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\Phi_0$</th>
<th>$\omega_1$ (1st mode)</th>
<th>$\omega_2$ (2nd mode)</th>
<th>$\omega_3$ (3rd mode)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>675.59</td>
<td>1086.58</td>
<td>1411.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>671.42</td>
<td>1075.89</td>
<td>1394.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>667.24</td>
<td>1065.09</td>
<td>1377.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>663.02</td>
<td>1054.18</td>
<td>1360.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Variation of 1st, 2nd and 3rd natural frequencies of three-layered curved nanobeam subjected to initial mechanical loads $N_0$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$N_0$</th>
<th>$\omega_1$ (1st mode)</th>
<th>$\omega_2$ (2nd mode)</th>
<th>$\omega_3$ (3rd mode)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>675.59</td>
<td>1086.58</td>
<td>1411.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>662.99</td>
<td>1054.08</td>
<td>1360.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>662.95</td>
<td>1053.99</td>
<td>1360.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>662.91</td>
<td>1053.90</td>
<td>1359.97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Variation of 1st, 2nd and 3rd natural frequencies of three-layered curved nanobeam in terms of nonlocal parameter $\xi$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\xi$</th>
<th>$\omega_1$ (1st mode)</th>
<th>$\omega_2$ (2nd mode)</th>
<th>$\omega_3$ (3rd mode)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0nm</td>
<td>683.87</td>
<td>1138.93</td>
<td>1560.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1nm</td>
<td>681.77</td>
<td>1125.14</td>
<td>1519.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nm</td>
<td>675.59</td>
<td>1086.58</td>
<td>1411.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3nm</td>
<td>665.64</td>
<td>1030.27</td>
<td>1274.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: Variation of 1st, 2nd and 3rd natural frequencies of three-layered curved nanobeam in terms spring parameter of foundation $K_1$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$K_1$</th>
<th>$\omega_1$ (1st mode)</th>
<th>$\omega_2$ (2nd mode)</th>
<th>$\omega_3$ (3rd mode)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>681.77</td>
<td>1125.14</td>
<td>1519.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1E-9</td>
<td>696.10</td>
<td>1134.81</td>
<td>1526.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1E9</td>
<td>697.52</td>
<td>1135.77</td>
<td>1527.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.20E9</td>
<td>698.93</td>
<td>1136.73</td>
<td>1527.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Variation of 1st, 2nd and 3rd natural frequencies of three-layered curved nanobeam in terms shear parameter of foundation $K_2$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$K_2$</th>
<th>$\omega_1$ (1st mode)</th>
<th>$\omega_2$ (2nd mode)</th>
<th>$\omega_3$ (3rd mode)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>681.77</td>
<td>1125.14</td>
<td>1519.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1E-7</td>
<td>690.92</td>
<td>1149.57</td>
<td>1560.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2E-7</td>
<td>699.94</td>
<td>1173.49</td>
<td>1600.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3E-7</td>
<td>708.84</td>
<td>1196.93</td>
<td>1640.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
To study the influence of thickness of core and piezoelectric on the natural frequencies of three-layered curved nanobeam, the non-dimensional core thickness to piezoelectric thickness ratio $\frac{h_c}{h_p}$ is employed for case the total thickness is assumed fixed ($h_c+2h_p=\text{Const}$). Shown in Fig. 2 is variation of 1st, 2nd and 3rd natural frequencies of three-layered curved nanobeam in terms of core thickness to piezoelectric thickness ratio $\frac{h_c}{h_p}$. The numerical results show that with increase of this parameter $\frac{h_c}{h_p}$, the natural frequencies are increased significantly. It is concluded that with increase of core thickness to piezoelectric thickness ratio $\frac{h_c}{h_p}$, the portion of core is increased rather than the portion of core in bending stiffness of nanobeam that leads to increase of natural frequencies.

Shown in Fig. 3 is variation of 1st, 2nd and 3rd natural frequencies of three-layered curved nanobeam in terms of various opening angles. The
numerical results show interesting behavior for fundamental and higher-order natural frequencies. It is concluded that the fundamental natural frequencies are decreased with increase of opening angle while the 2nd and 3rd natural frequencies are increased with increase of opening angle.

CONCLUSIONS

Magneto-electro-elastic vibration characteristics of a three-layered curved nanobeam resting on Pasternak’s foundation was studied in this paper. Thickness stretching effect was included in the governing equations of motion based on shear and normal deformation theory. The shear and normal deformation theory used a sinusoidal distribution of shear stress across the thickness direction. Size dependency was accounted based on Eringen’s nonlocal elasticity theory. The governing equations of motion were derived based on Hamilton’s principle. The analytical method was presented parametrically based on Navier’s method for simply supported curved nanobeam. The numerical results were presented to show the influence of nonlocal parameter, opening angle, the core thickness to piezoelectric thickness ratio on the vibration characteristics of three-layered curved nanobeam. The numerical results present some important conclusions as follows:

- The three-layered curved nanobeam was subjected to initial mechanical, electrical and magnetic loads. The numerical results indicate that these initial loads can strongly affect the vibration characteristics of curved nanobeam. One can conclude that the natural frequencies are increased with increase of initial electric potential $\psi_0$. In addition, increase of initial mechanical loads $N_0$ and magnetic potential $\phi_0$ leads to decrease of natural frequencies of three-layered curved nanobeam.

- The nonlocal parameter $\xi$ based on Eringen’s nonlocal elasticity theory leads to change of natural frequencies of curved nanobeam. One can conclude that increase of nonlocal parameter decreases the stiffness of nanobeam and decrease of natural frequencies.

- The results were presented in terms of geometric parameters such opening angle and the core thickness to piezoelectric thickness ratio. The numerical results indicate that the fundamental natural frequencies are decreased with increase of opening angle while the 2nd and 3rd natural frequencies are increased with increase of opening angle. In addition, increase of the core thickness to piezoelectric thickness ratio leads to increase of natural frequencies due to increase of stiffness.

APPENDIX

\begin{align*}
\{A_0, A_2, A_4, A_6, A_{12}, A_{14}, A_{16}, A_{24}, A_{26}, A_{32}, A_{34}, A_{36}\} & = \\
\int_{\frac{\pi}{2}}\frac{h_0}{2} C_{r\theta\phi} \left( \frac{1}{\xi} \psi_0, \frac{1}{\xi} \psi_1, \frac{1}{\xi} \psi_2, \frac{1}{\xi} \psi_3, \frac{1}{\xi} \psi_4, \frac{1}{\xi} \psi_5, \frac{1}{\xi} \psi_6 \right) \frac{d\xi}{2} \\\n+ \int_{\frac{\pi}{2}}\frac{h_0}{2} C_{r\theta\phi} \left( \frac{1}{\xi} \psi_0, \frac{1}{\xi} \psi_1, \frac{1}{\xi} \psi_2, \frac{1}{\xi} \psi_3, \frac{1}{\xi} \psi_4, \frac{1}{\xi} \psi_5, \frac{1}{\xi} \psi_6 \right) \frac{d\xi}{2} \\\n\{A_{12}, A_{14}, A_{16}, A_{24}, A_{26}, A_{32}, A_{34}, A_{36}\} & = \\
\int_{\frac{\pi}{2}}\frac{h_0}{2} \left( \frac{1}{\xi} \psi_0, \frac{1}{\xi} \psi_1, \frac{1}{\xi} \psi_2, \frac{1}{\xi} \psi_3, \frac{1}{\xi} \psi_4, \frac{1}{\xi} \psi_5, \frac{1}{\xi} \psi_6 \right) \frac{d\xi}{2} \\\n+ \int_{\frac{\pi}{2}}\frac{h_0}{2} \left( \frac{1}{\xi} \psi_0, \frac{1}{\xi} \psi_1, \frac{1}{\xi} \psi_2, \frac{1}{\xi} \psi_3, \frac{1}{\xi} \psi_4, \frac{1}{\xi} \psi_5, \frac{1}{\xi} \psi_6 \right) \frac{d\xi}{2} \\\n\{A_{12}, A_{14}, A_{16}, A_{24}, A_{26}, A_{32}, A_{34}, A_{36}\} & = \\
\int_{\frac{\pi}{2}}\frac{h_0}{2} \left( \frac{1}{\xi} \psi_0, \frac{1}{\xi} \psi_1, \frac{1}{\xi} \psi_2, \frac{1}{\xi} \psi_3, \frac{1}{\xi} \psi_4, \frac{1}{\xi} \psi_5, \frac{1}{\xi} \psi_6 \right) \frac{d\xi}{2} \\\n+ \int_{\frac{\pi}{2}}\frac{h_0}{2} \left( \frac{1}{\xi} \psi_0, \frac{1}{\xi} \psi_1, \frac{1}{\xi} \psi_2, \frac{1}{\xi} \psi_3, \frac{1}{\xi} \psi_4, \frac{1}{\xi} \psi_5, \frac{1}{\xi} \psi_6 \right) \frac{d\xi}{2} \end{align*}
\[ 
\begin{align*}
J_{\beta \alpha h \psi} c_{\psi} & \left[ \frac{1}{r} \frac{1}{\eta} \right] \left( \begin{array}{c}
\psi_1(x) \psi_2(x) \psi_3(x) \\
\frac{1}{r} \frac{1}{\eta} \psi_4(x) \psi_5(x) \psi_6(x) \\
\frac{1}{r} \frac{1}{\eta} \psi_7(x) \psi_8(x) \psi_9(x)
\end{array} \right) \frac{d \psi}{d \zeta}, \\
\left\{ A_{11}, A_{12}, A_{21}, A_{22}, A_{31}, A_{32}, A_{41}, A_{42} \right\} & = \\
\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{d \psi}{d \zeta} \left[ \frac{1}{r} \frac{1}{\eta} \right] \left( \begin{array}{c}
\psi_1(x) \psi_2(x) \psi_3(x) \\
\frac{1}{r} \frac{1}{\eta} \psi_4(x) \psi_5(x) \psi_6(x) \\
\frac{1}{r} \frac{1}{\eta} \psi_7(x) \psi_8(x) \psi_9(x)
\end{array} \right) \frac{d \psi}{d \zeta}.
\end{align*}
\]

REFERENCES


