

Identification of Initial Taylor-Maclaurin Coefficients for Generalized Subclasses of Bi-Univalent Functions

Arzu Akgül

ABSTRACT. In the present work, the author determines some coefficient bounds for functions in a new class of analytic and bi-univalent functions, which are introduced by using of polylogarithmic functions. The presented results in this paper one the generalization of the recent works of Srivastava et al. [26], Frasin and Aouf [13] and Siregar and Darus [25].

1. INTRODUCTION

Let A stand for class of the functions of the form

$$(1.1) \quad \psi(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k,$$

which are analytic in $E = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$. The familiar generalized polilogarithmic function $G(n; z)$ is signified by

$$G(n; z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{k^n}, \quad (n \in \mathbb{C}, z \in E).$$

Note that

$$G(-1; z) = \frac{z}{1 - z^2},$$

is Koebe function. For more details about polylogarithms in the theory of univalent functions, see Ponnusamy and Sabapath [20] and Ponnusamy

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30C45.

Key words and phrases. Analytic functions, Univalent functions, Bi-univalent functions, Taylor-Maclaurin series, Koebe function, Starlike and convex functions, Coefficient bounds, Polylogarithm functions.

Received: 28 March 2017, Accepted: 18 June 2018.

[19]. For $n, \tau \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ Al-Shaqsi and Darus [24] defined the linear operator

$$(1.2) \quad D_\tau^n \psi(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^n \frac{(k + \tau - 1)!}{\tau! (k - 1)!} a_k z^k, \quad (z \in E).$$

If we take $\tau = 0$ in equation (1.2), then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} D_0^n \psi(z) &= D^n \psi(z) \\ &= z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^n a_k z^k, \end{aligned}$$

which gives the Sălăgean's differential operator [23]. For $n = 0$

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} D_\tau^0 \psi(z) &= D^\delta \psi(z) \\ &= z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} C(\delta, k) a_k z^k, \end{aligned}$$

where $C(\delta, k) = \binom{k+\delta-1}{\delta}$, $\delta \in \mathbb{N}_0$, which gives the Ruscheweyh derivative operator [22]. It is obvious that the operator D_τ^n includes two well known derivative operators. Also we have

$$D_0^1 \psi(z) = D_1^0 \psi(z) = z\psi'(z).$$

Let \mathcal{S} denote the class of all functions in A consisting of functions which are univalent in E . The analytic and univalent functions play very important roles in the theory of geometric functions. Since univalent functions are one-to-one, they have inverse and the inverse functions do not need to be defined on the entire unit disc E . The Koebe one quarter theorem [12] guarantees that the image of E under any ψ from \mathcal{S} contains a disc of radius $\frac{1}{4}$. Thus, every $\psi \in \mathcal{S}$ has an inverse function ψ^{-1} such that

$$\psi^{-1}(\psi(z)) = z, \quad (z \in E),$$

and

$$\psi(\psi^{-1}(w)) = w, \quad (|w| < r_0(\psi); r_0(\psi) \geq \frac{1}{4}),$$

where

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \psi^{-1}(w) &= \phi(w) \\ &= w - a_2 w^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3) w^3 - (5a_2^3 - 5a_2 a_3 + a_4) w^4 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

A function $\psi \in A$ is said to be bi-univalent in E if both $\psi(z)$ and $\psi^{-1}(z)$ are univalent in E . Let σ denote the class of bi-univalent functions in E given by (1.1). The Koebe function is not a member of σ . The concept

of bi-univalent functions and their coefficient estimates, obtaining the bound $|a_2| < 1.51$, was studied by Lewin [14]. Soon after, Brannan and Clunie [9] conjectured that $|a_2| \leq \sqrt{2}$ for $\psi \in \sigma$. Then, Netanyahu [17] proved that $\max |a_2| = \frac{4}{3}$. In recent years, Srivastava et al. [26], Frasin and Aouf [13], and Xu et al. [28–30] investigated several subclasses of the bi-univalent function class σ and found estimates on the Taylor-Maclourin coefficients $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$ for functions in these subclasses. Many authors investigated the coefficients $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$ for different subclasses of bi-univalent functions (see [5–8, 10, 11, 15, 16, 21, 23, 26, 27]).

The target of this study is obtaining the appropriate classes of σ involving polylogarithm is functions. We investigate estimates on the coefficients $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$ of functions in these new subclasses by employing the methods used earlier by Srivastava et al. [26] and by Frasin and Aouf [13].

First of all, we present the following lemma to prove our main result

Lemma 1.1 ([18]). *If $h \in \mathbb{P}$ then $|c_k| \leq 2$ for each k , where \mathbb{P} is the family of all functions h analytic in E for which $\operatorname{Re}(h(z)) > 0, h(z) = 1 + c_1z + c_2z^2 + c_3z^3 + \dots$ for $z \in E$.*

2. COEFFICIENT BOUNDS FOR THE FUNCTION CLASS $B_{\sigma}^{\tau,n}(\zeta, \eta)$

Definition 2.1. The class $B_{\sigma}^{\tau,n}(\zeta, \eta)$ of functions $\psi(z)$ determined by the equality (1.1) consists of those functions $\psi(z)$ that satisfy the following conditions $\psi \in \sigma$,

$$(2.1) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{(1-\zeta)D_{\tau}^n \psi(z) + \zeta D_{\tau}^{n+1} \psi(z)}{z} \right) > \eta,$$

where $0 \leq \eta < 1, \tau, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \zeta \geq 1, z \in E$ and

$$(2.2) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{(1-\zeta)D_{\tau}^n \phi(w) + \zeta D_{\tau}^{n+1} \phi(w)}{w} \right) > \eta,$$

where $0 \leq \eta < 1, \tau, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \zeta \geq 1, w \in E$ and the function ϕ is given by (1.4).

Remark 2.2. If we choose $n = 0, \zeta = 1$ and $\tau = 0$ in Definition 2.1, then the class $B_{\sigma}^{\tau,n}(\zeta, \eta)$ reduces to the class $H_{\sigma}(\eta)$, ($0 \leq \eta < 1$) introduced and studied by Srivastava et al. [26].

Remark 2.3. If we choose $n = 0$ and $\tau = 0$ in Definition 2.1, then the class $B_{\sigma}^{\tau,n}(\zeta, \eta)$ reduces to the class $B_{\sigma}(\eta, \zeta)$, ($0 \leq \eta < 1, \zeta \geq 1$) introduced and studied by Frasin and Aouf [13].

Remark 2.4. If we choose $\zeta = 1$ in Definition 2.1, then the class $B_{\sigma}^{\tau,n}(\zeta, \eta)$ reduces to the class $M_{\sigma}^n(\zeta, \eta)$ ($0 \leq \eta < 1, \zeta \geq 1$) introduced and studied by Siregar and Darus [25].

Theorem 2.5. Let $\psi(z)$ given by (1.1) be in the class $B_{\sigma}^{\tau,n}(\zeta, \eta)$ $0 < \eta < 1$ and $\tau, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \zeta \geq 1$. Then

$$(2.3) \quad |a_2| \leq 2\sqrt{\frac{(1-\eta)}{3^n(\tau+1)(\tau+2)(2\zeta+1)}},$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad |a_3| \leq \frac{4(1-\eta)}{3^n(\tau+1)(\tau+2)(2\zeta+1)} + \frac{4(1-\eta)^2}{2^{2n}(\tau+1)^2(\zeta+1)^2},$$

Proof. Considering relations (2.1) and (2.2), there exist functions ϑ and $\theta \in \mathbb{P}$ such that

$$(2.5) \quad \left(\frac{(1-\zeta)D_{\tau}^n\psi(z) + \zeta D_{\tau}^{n+1}\psi(z)}{z} \right) = \eta + (1-\eta)\vartheta(z), \quad (z \in E),$$

and

$$(2.6) \quad \left(\frac{(1-\zeta)D_{\tau}^n\phi(w) + \zeta D_{\tau}^{n+1}\phi(w)}{w} \right) = \eta + (1-\eta)\theta(w), \quad (w \in E),$$

where $\vartheta(z)$ and $\theta(w)$ in \mathbb{P} in the forms are

$$(2.7) \quad \vartheta(z) = 1 + \vartheta_1 z + \vartheta_2 z^2 + \vartheta_3 z^3 + \dots,$$

and

$$(2.8) \quad \theta(\omega) = 1 + \theta_1 \omega + \theta_2 \omega^2 + \theta_3 \omega^3 + \dots,$$

By equating the coefficients of equations (2.5) and (2.6), we get

$$(2.9) \quad 2^n(\tau+1)(\zeta+1)a_2 = (1-\eta)\vartheta_1,$$

$$(2.10) \quad \frac{3^n(\tau+1)(\tau+2)(2\zeta+1)}{2}a_3 = (1-\eta)\vartheta_2,$$

$$(2.11) \quad -2^n(\tau+1)(\zeta+1)a_2 = (1-\eta)\theta_1,$$

and

$$(2.12) \quad \frac{3^n(\tau+1)(\tau+2)(2\zeta+1)}{2}(2a_2^2 - a_3) = (1-\eta)\theta_2.$$

Considering (2.9) and (2.11), we have

$$\vartheta_1 = -\theta_1,$$

and

$$(2.13) \quad 2^{2n+1}(\tau+1)^2(\zeta+1)^2a_2^2 = (1-\eta)^2(\vartheta_1^2 + \theta_1^2).$$

Also, from (2.10) and (2.12), we find that,

$$3^n(\tau+1)(\tau+2)(2\zeta+1)a_2^2 = (1-\eta)(\vartheta_2 + \theta_2).$$

Therefore, we have

$$|a_2^2| \leq \frac{(1 - \eta)(|\vartheta_2| + |\theta_2|)}{3^n(\tau + 1)(\tau + 2)(2\zeta + 1)}.$$

Applying Lemma 1.1, we get the desired result on the coefficient $|a_2|$ as propounded in (2.3). Next, to find the bound on $|a_3|$, by using relations (2.12) and (2.10), we get

$$3^n(\tau + 1)(\tau + 2)(2\zeta + 1)(a_3 - a_2^2) = (1 - \eta)(\vartheta_2 - \theta_2),$$

which, upon the value of a_2^2 from (2.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 3^n(\tau + 1)(\tau + 2)(2\zeta + 1)a_3 &= \frac{3^n(2\zeta + 1)(\tau + 1)(\tau + 2)(1 - \eta)^2(\vartheta_1^2 + \theta_1^2)}{2^{2n+1}(\tau + 1)^2(\zeta + 1)^2} \\ &\quad + (1 - \eta)(\vartheta_2 - \theta_2). \end{aligned}$$

Then, we obtain

$$a_3 = \frac{(1 - \eta)(\vartheta_2 - \theta_2)}{3^n(2\zeta + 1)(\tau + 1)(\tau + 2)} + \frac{(1 - \eta)^2(\vartheta_1^2 + \theta_1^2)}{2^{2n+1}(\tau + 1)^2(\zeta + 1)^2}.$$

Applying Lemma 1.1 for the coefficients $\vartheta_1, \theta_1, \vartheta_2$ and θ_2 , we get

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{(1 - \eta)(|\vartheta_2| + |\theta_2|)}{3^n(2\zeta + 1)(\tau + 1)(\tau + 2)} + \frac{(1 - \eta)^2(|\vartheta_1|^2 + |\theta_1|^2)}{2^{2n+1}(\tau + 1)^2(\zeta + 1)^2},$$

which is the desired estimate on the coefficient $|a_3|$ as propounded in (2.4). \square

If we choose $n = 0, \zeta = 1$ and $\tau = 0$ in Theorem 2.5, then we reduce the result by Srivastava et al [26], as follows.

Corollary 2.6 ([26]). *Let the function $\psi(z)$ given by (1.1) be in the class $H_\sigma(\eta)$, ($0 \leq \eta < 1$). Then*

$$|a_2| \leq \sqrt{\frac{2(1 - \eta)}{3}},$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{(1 - \eta)(5 - 3\eta)}{3}.$$

If we choose $n = 0$ and $\tau = 0$ in Theorem 2.5, then we reduce the result by Frasin and Aouf [13] as follows.

Corollary 2.7 ([13]). *Let the function $\psi(z)$ given by (1.1) be in the class $B_\sigma(\eta)$ ($0 \leq \eta < 1, \zeta \geq 1$). Then*

$$|a_2| \leq \sqrt{\frac{2(1 - \eta)}{2\zeta + 1}},$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{4(1-\eta)^2}{(\zeta+1)^2} + \frac{2(1-\eta)}{(2\zeta+1)}.$$

If we choose $\zeta = 1$ in Theorem 2.5, then we reduce the result by Siregar and Darus [25] as follows.

Corollary 2.8 ([25]). *Let the function $\psi(z)$ given by (1.1) be in the class $M_\sigma^n(\tau, \eta)$ ($0 \leq \eta < 1$). Then*

$$|a_2| \leq \sqrt{\frac{4(1-\eta)}{3^{n+1}(\tau+1)(\tau+2)}},$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{(1-\eta)^2}{2^{2n}(\tau+1)^2} + \frac{4(1-\eta)}{3^{n+1}(\tau+1)(\tau+2)}.$$

3. COEFFICIENT BOUNDS FOR THE FUNCTION CLASS $H_\sigma^{\tau,n}(\zeta, \alpha)$

Definition 3.1. The class $H_\sigma^{\tau,n}(\zeta, \alpha)$, of the functions $\psi(z)$ determined by the equality (1.1), consists of those functions $\psi(z)$ that satisfy the following conditions $\psi \in \Sigma$,

$$(3.1) \quad \left| \arg \left(\frac{(1-\zeta)D_\tau^n \psi(z) + \zeta D_\tau^{n+1} \psi(z)}{z} \right) \right| < \frac{\alpha\pi}{2},$$

where $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, $\tau, n, \in \mathbb{N}_0, \zeta \geq 1, z \in E$ and

$$(3.2) \quad \left| \arg \left(\frac{(1-\zeta)D_\tau^n \phi(w) + \zeta D_\tau^{n+1} \phi(w)}{w} \right) \right| < \frac{\alpha\pi}{2},$$

where $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, $\tau, n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \zeta \geq 1, w \in E$ and the function ϕ is given by the equality (1.3).

Remark 3.2. If we choose $n = 0, \zeta = 1$ and $\tau = 0$ in Definition 3.1, then the class $H_\sigma^{\tau,n}(\zeta, \alpha)$ reduces to the class $H_\sigma(\alpha)$, ($0 < \alpha \leq 1$) introduced and studied by Srivastava et al. [26].

Remark 3.3. If we choose $n = 0$ and $\tau = 0$ in Definition 3.1, then the class $H_\sigma^{\tau,n}(\zeta, \alpha)$ reduces to the class $B_\sigma(\alpha, \zeta)$, ($0 < \alpha \leq 1$) introduced and studied by Frasin and Aouf [13].

Remark 3.4. If we choose $\zeta = 1$ in Definition 3.1, then the class $H_\sigma^{\tau,n}(\zeta, \alpha)$ reduces to the class $M_\sigma^n(\zeta, \alpha)$, ($0 < \alpha \leq 1$) introduced and studied by Siregar and Darus [25].

Theorem 3.5. *Let $\psi(z)$ given by (1.1) be in the class $H_{\sigma}^{\tau,n}(\zeta, \alpha)$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and $\zeta \geq 1, \tau, n, \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then*

$$(3.3) \quad |a_2| \leq \frac{2\alpha}{\sqrt{|3^n(\tau+1)(\tau+2)(2\zeta+1)\alpha - 2^{2n}(\tau+1)^2(\zeta+1)^2(\alpha-1)|}},$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{4\alpha}{3^n(\tau+1)(\tau+2)(2\zeta+1)} + \frac{4\alpha^2}{2^{2n}(\tau+1)^2(\zeta+1)^2}.$$

Proof. If we write argument inequalities in (3.1) and (3.2), then we have

$$(3.4) \quad \left(\frac{(1-\zeta)D_{\tau}^n\psi(z) + \zeta D_{\tau}^{n+1}\psi(z)}{z} \right) = [\vartheta(z)]^{\alpha}, \quad (z \in E),$$

and

$$(3.5) \quad \left(\frac{(1-\zeta)D_{\tau}^n\phi(w) + \zeta D_{\tau}^{n+1}\phi(w)}{w} \right) = [\theta(w)]^{\alpha}, \quad (w \in E),$$

where $\vartheta(z)$ and $\theta(w)$ are in \mathbb{P} and have the forms (2.7) and (2.8). Now, equating the coefficients in equations (3.4) and (3.5), we have

$$(3.6) \quad 2^n(\tau+1)(\zeta+1)a_2 = \alpha\vartheta_1,$$

$$(3.7) \quad \frac{3^n(\tau+1)(\tau+2)(2\zeta+1)}{2}a_3 = \alpha\vartheta_2 + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2}\vartheta_1^2,$$

$$(3.8) \quad -2^n(\tau+1)(\zeta+1)a_2 = \alpha\theta_1$$

and

$$(3.9) \quad \frac{3^n(\tau+1)(\tau+2)(2\zeta+1)}{2}(2a_2^2 - a_3) = \alpha\theta_2 + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2}\theta_1^2.$$

From (3.6) and (3.8), we have

$$(3.10) \quad \vartheta_1 = -\theta_1,$$

and

$$(3.11) \quad 2^{2n+1}(\tau+1)^2(\zeta+1)^2a_2^2 = \alpha^2(\vartheta_1^2 + \theta_1^2).$$

Also from (3.7), (3.9) and (3.11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 3^n(\tau+1)(\tau+2)(2\zeta+1)a_2^2 &= \alpha(\vartheta_2 + \theta_2) + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2}(\vartheta_1^2 + \theta_1^2) \\ &= \alpha(\vartheta_2 + \theta_2) + \frac{(\alpha-1)2^{2n}(\tau+1)^2(\zeta+1)^2a_2^2}{\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$a_2^2 = \frac{\alpha^2(\vartheta_2 + \theta_2)}{3^n(\tau+1)(\tau+2)(2\zeta+1)\alpha - 2^{2n}(\tau+1)^2(\zeta+1)^2(\alpha-1)}.$$

Applying Lemma 1.1. for the coefficients ϑ_2 and θ_2 , we have

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{2\alpha}{\sqrt{|3^n(\tau+1)(\tau+2)(2\zeta+1)\alpha - 2^{2n}(\tau+1)^2(\zeta+1)^2(\alpha-1)|}}.$$

This gives the bound on $|a_2|$ as propounded in inequality (3.3). Next in order to find the bound on $|a_3|$, using relations 3.8 and 3.10, we find that

$$(3.12) \quad 3^n(\tau+1)(\tau+2)(2\zeta+1)(a_3 - a_2^2) = \alpha(\vartheta_2 - \theta_2) + \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{2}(\vartheta_1^2 - \theta_1^2).$$

It follows from (3.9), (3.10) and (3.12) that

$$\begin{aligned} 3^n(\tau+1)(\tau+2)(2\zeta+1)a_3 &= \alpha(\vartheta_2 - \theta_2) + 3^n(\tau+1)(\tau+2)(2\zeta+1)a_2^2 \\ &= \alpha(\vartheta_2 - \theta_2) + 3^n(\tau+1)(\tau+2)(2\zeta+1) \\ &\quad \times \frac{\alpha^2(\vartheta_1^2 + \theta_1^2)}{2^{2n+1}(\tau+1)^2(\zeta+1)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, equivalently, we get

$$a_3 = \frac{\alpha(\vartheta_2 - \theta_2)}{3^n(\tau+1)(\tau+2)(2\zeta+1)} + \frac{\alpha^2(\vartheta_1^2 + \theta_1^2)}{2^{2n+1}(\tau+1)^2(\zeta+1)^2}.$$

Applying Lemma 1.1 once again for the coefficients ϑ_1 , ϑ_2 , θ_1 , θ_2 , we readily obtain

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{4\alpha}{3^n(\tau+1)(\tau+2)(2\zeta+1)} + \frac{4\alpha^2}{2^{2n}(\tau+1)^2(\zeta+1)^2}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.5. \square

If we choose $n = 0$, $\zeta = 1$ and $\tau = 0$ in Theorem 3.5, then we reduce the result given by Srivastava et al. [26] as follow

Corollary 3.6 ([26]). *Let the function $\psi(z)$ given by (1.1) be in the class $H_\sigma(\eta)$ ($0 \leq \eta < 1$). Then*

$$|a_2| \leq \alpha \sqrt{\frac{2}{\alpha+2}},$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{\alpha(3\alpha+2)}{3}.$$

If we choose $n = 0$ and $\tau = 0$ in Theorem 3.5, then we reduce the result given by Frasin and Aouf [13] as follows.

Corollary 3.7 ([13]). *Let the function $\psi(z)$ given by (1.1) be in the class $B_\sigma(\eta)$, ($0 \leq \eta < 1$) and $\zeta \geq 1$. Then*

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{2\alpha}{\sqrt{(\zeta+1)^2 + \alpha(1+2\zeta-\zeta^2)}},$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{4\alpha^2}{(\zeta + 1)^2} + \frac{2\alpha}{2\zeta + 1}.$$

If we choose $\zeta = 1$ in Theorem 3.5, then we reduce the result given by Siregar and Darus [25] as follows.

Corollary 3.8 ([25]). *Let the function $\psi(z)$ given by (1.1) be in the class $M_\sigma^n(\zeta, \alpha)$, ($0 < \alpha \leq 1$) and $\zeta \geq 0$. Then*

$$|a_2| \leq \alpha \sqrt{\frac{4}{3^{n+1}\alpha(\tau + 1)(\tau + 2) - 2^{n+2}(\alpha - 1)(\tau + 1)^2}},$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{\alpha^2}{2^{2n}(\tau + 1)^2} + \frac{4\alpha}{3^{n+1}(\tau + 1)(\tau + 2)}.$$

Acknowledgment. The author wish to thank the referees(s) for their valuable suggestions which improved the presentation of the paper.

REFERENCES

1. A. Akgül and S. Altınkaya, *Coefficient estimates associated with a new subclass of bi-univalent functions*, Acta Univ. Apulensis Math. Inform., 52 (2017), pp. 121-128
2. A. Akgül, *Finding initial coefficients for a class of bi-univalent functions given by q-derivative*, AIP Conference Proceedings, 1926 (2018).
3. A. Akgül, *New subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions involving a new integral operator defined by polylogarithm function*, Theory Appl. Math. Comput. Sci., 7 (2017), pp. 31-40.
4. A. Akgül, *Coefficient estimates for certain subclass of bi-univalent functions obtained with polylogarithms*, Math. Sci. Appl. E-Notes, 6 (2018), pp. 70-76.
5. S. Altınkaya and S. Yalçın, *Coefficient bounds for a subclass of bi-univalent functions*, TWMS J. Pure Appl. Math., 6 (2015), pp. 180-185.
6. S. Altınkaya and S. Yalçın, *Coefficient estimates for two new subclass of bi-univalent functions with respect to Symmetric Points*, J. Funct. Spaces, 2015 (2015), 5 pages.
7. S. Altınkaya and S. Yalçın, *Coefficient problem for certain subclasses of bi-univalent functions defined by convolution*, Math. Morav., 20 (2016), pp. 15-21.

8. S. Bulut, *Coefficient estimates for a new subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions defined by Hadamard product*, J. Complex Anal., 2014 (2014), 7 pages.
9. D.A. Brannan and J.G. Clunie, *Aspects of contemporary complex analysis*, in Proceeding of the NATO Advanced Study Institute Held at University of Durham: July, (1979), pp. 1-20, Academic Press, New York, N, YSA, 1980.
10. M. Caglar, H. Orhan, and N. Yagmur, *Coefficient bounds for new subclass of bi-univalent functions*, Filomat, 27 (2013), pp. 1165-1171.
11. O. Crisan, *Coefficient estimates of certain subclass of bi-univalent functions*, Gen. Math. Notes, 16 (2013), pp. 93-102.
12. P.L. Duren, *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 1983.
13. B.A. Frasin and M.K. Aouf, *New subclass of bi-univalent functions*, Appl. Math. Lett., 24 (2011), pp. 1569-1573.
14. M. Lewin, *On a coefficient problem of bi-univalent functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 18 (1967), pp. 63-68.
15. X.F. Li and A.P. Wang, *Two new subclasses of bi-univalent functions*, Int. Math. Forum, 7 (2012), pp. 1495-1504.
16. N. Magesh and J. Yamini, *Coefficient bounds for a certain subclass of bi-univalent functions*, Int. Math. Forum, 8 (2013), pp. 1337-1344.
17. E. Netanyahu, *The minimal distance of the image boundary from the origin and the second coefficient of a univalent function in $|z| < 1$* , Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 32 (1969), pp. 100-112.
18. C.H. Pommerenke, *Univalent functions*, Vandenhoeck and Ruprecht, Göttingen, 1975.
19. S. Ponnusamy, *Inclusion theorems for convolution product of second order polylogarithms and functions with the derivative in a half plane*, Rocky Mountain J. Math., 28 (1998), pp. 695-733.
20. S. Ponnusamy and S. Sabapathy, *Polylogarithms in the theory of univalent functions*, Results Math., 30 (1996), pp. 136-150.
21. S. Porwal and M. Darus, *On a new subclass of bi-univalent functions*, J. Egypt. Math. Soc., 21 (2013), pp. 190-193
22. St. Ruscheweyh, *New criteria for univalent functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 49 (1975), pp. 109-115.
23. G.S. Sălăgean, *Subclasses of univalent functions*, Lecture Notes in Math., Springer Verlag, 1013 (1983), pp. 362-372.
24. K. Al Shaqsi and M. Darus, *An operator defined by convolution involving the polylogarithms functions*, Journal of Mathematics and Statics, 4 (2008), pp. 46-50.

25. S. Siregar and M. Darus, *New subclass of biunivalent functions involving the polylogarithms functions*, Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Mathematical Sciences AIP Conf. Proc., 1602 (2014), pp. 893-898.
26. H.M. Srivastava, A.K. Mishra, and P. Gochhayat, *Certain subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions*, Appl. Math. Lett., 23 (2010), pp. 1188-1192.
27. B. Srutha Keerthi and B. Raja *Coefficient inequality for certain new subclass of analytic bi-univalent functions*, Theoretical Mathematics and Applications, 3 (2013), pp. 1-10.
28. Q.H. Xu, Y.C. Gui, and H.M. Srivastava, *Coefficient estimates for a certain subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions*, Appl. Math. Lett., 23 (2012), pp. 990-994.
29. Q.H. Xu, H.G. Xiao, and H.M. Srivastava, *A certain general subclass of analytic and bi-univalent functions and associated coefficient estimate problems*, Appl. Math. Comput., 218 (2012), pp. 11461-11465.
30. Q.H. Xu, H.M. Srivastava, and Z. Li, *A certain subclass of analytic and close-to-convex functions*, Appl. Math. Lett., 24 (2011), pp. 396-401.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF ARTS AND SCIENCE, KOCAELI UNIVERSITY, KOCAELI, TURKEY.

E-mail address: akgul@kocaeli.edu.tr.